

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

inited	States Patent and Trademark Office
ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	uning sente des

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,699	12/07/2001	T. Anthony Don Michael	DON MICHAEL=27	7636
1444	1444 7590 03/30/2005			INER
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			HO, UYEN T	
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Е	ን	V

Office Action	Summary
---------------	---------

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/005,699	DON MICHAEL, T.	ANTHONY
Examiner	Art Unit	
(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earn	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 January 2000. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is a Since this application is in condition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quantum Condition for allowance.	non-final. t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.	
•	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers	•	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requi The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
2) Notice 3) Inform	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/04 have been fully considered but they do not place the application in condition for allowance. The finality of the rejection of the last Office action 10/19/04 is withdrawn. A new final rejection is made as follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heuser (6,364,900).

Heuser discloses a catheter including a tapered distal end, a guidance lumen (16), an annular bypass flow lumen (46, col. 4, lines 29-56), inlet (47) and outlet openings (48), a first (30) and second (20) flexible membranes/balloons, wherein the second flexible membrane is a blocking balloon and a very compliant (col. 3, lines 12-25), the first flexible membrane including a stent (40) disposed thereon and the first membrane may comprise a compliant balloon.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative,

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Heuser '900. Although Heuser suggests the

first flexible membrane/balloon may comprising a high compliant angioplasty balloon,

one ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the first balloon is made from a low

compliant material for delivering stent but may also be made from the high compliant

material as suggested by Heuser. It is also known in the art that balloon for stent

delivery being made from low compliant material for anchoring a stent to the wall of a

vessel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to make the stent delivering balloon from low compliant

material doing so would amount to mere substitution of one material for another within

the same art that would perform equally well in the Heuser's delivering system.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to make Heuser's first flexible membrane/balloon from a

low compliant material in order to provide a better dilation for expanding and anchoring

the stent to the wall of a vessel.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment filed on 7/19/04 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

accepallelo

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731

March 18, 2005